REMARKS

As a preliminary, Applicant and Applicant's representative thank the Examiner and his

Supervisor for the interview of June 18, 2008.

By the present amendment, new claim 13 has been added. Support for new claim 13 is

found in the original application, for example on page 4, lines 12-13.

Claims 1-13 are pending in the present application. Claim 1 is the only independent

claim.

In the Office Action, claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as obvious over US 5,271,229 to Clarke et al. ("Clarke") in view of US 4,467,757 to Dazzi

("Dazzi").

It is noted that claim 12 is not listed at the beginning of section 5 on page 3 of the Office

Action but this is understood as a typographical error because claim 12 is included in the

rejection as discussed on page 5 of the Office Action. Conversely, it is noted that claims 4 and 7

are listed in this rejection, but this is also understood as a typographical error since claims 4 and

7 are rejected in a separate rejection in section 7 starting on page 6 of the Office Action.

Further, in the Office Action, claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over

Clarke in view of Dazzi and further in view of US 3,741,175 to Rouger ("Rouger"), and claims 4

and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Clarke in view of Dazzi and further in

view of US 4,417,469 to Stevenson et al. ("Stevenson").

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Page 5

As discussed at the interview, it is submitted that, contrary to the assertion in the Office

Action, very high pressure fuel pumps were not known for gasoline engine.

Specifically, US5,960,627 to Krampe et al. does not disclose "diesel engines" at col. 1,

line 14. Krampe uses the term "diesel gasoline engine" at col. 1, line 14 but this appears to be an

imprecise, indefinite, or erroneous translation of the original German text. Further, the

disclosure of Krampe clearly concerns only a diesel engine, in particular with reference to a

pressure of "800 bars or greater" mentioned at col. 2, lines 27-30 of Krampe.

Further, it is submitted that the disclosure of Dazzi is also limited to diesel engines. The

passage at col. 1, lines 11-16 of Dazzi refers to "direct injection gasoline engines" but this is

only a general mention of various engines in the introduction of Dazzi, which is not congruent to

the invention described and illustrated in Dazzi. The single embodiment of Dazzi is limited to a

diesel engine, so that a person of ordinary skill in the art would clearly understand the reference

to high pressure (1000 bars, see Dazzi at col. 4, line 49) as referring only to diesel engines.

Further, the other cited references fail to remedy the deficiencies of Dazzi. Therefore,

the present claims are not obvious over Dazzi taken alone or in any combination with the other

cited references

In view of the above, it is submitted that the rejections should be withdrawn.

In addition, it is noted that suspension has been requested with the Request for Continued

Examination in order to provide an opportunity to submit additional evidence or argument in this

application.

Page 6

Amendment

US Appl. No. 10/551,826

Attorney Docket No. PSA05002

In conclusion, the invention as presently claimed is patentable. It is believed that the claims are in allowable condition and a notice to that effect is earnestly requested.

In the event there is, in the Examiner's opinion, any outstanding issue and such issue may

be resolved by means of a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact

the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants hereby petition

for an appropriate extension of the response period. Please charge the fee for such extension and

any other fees which may be required to our Deposit Account No. 502759.

Respectfully submitted,

/nicolas seckel/

Nicolas E. Seckel Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 44,373

Nicolas E. Seckel Patent Attornev

1250 Connecticut Avenue NW Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036 Tel: (202) 669-5169

Fax: (202) 822-1257 Customer No.: <u>29980</u>

NES/rep

Page 7